

Conflicting Indicators of Estuarine Health in a Southwest Florida Estuary Susceptible to Harmful Algal Blooms

Presentation to the National Water Quality Monitoring Council

March 28, 2019

Mike Wessel, Jon Perry, Tony Janicki
Jay Leverone, and Mark Alderson



The Sarasota Bay Estuary includes a 50 mile long coastal lagoon with four inlets

The Sarasota Bay Estuary Program was established in 1989

Between 1980's and 2010:

- Nitrogen pollution was reduced by an estimated 64%
- Seagrasses rebounded by 46% and have recently been above historical acreages
- Water quality achieved state standards




Factors Affecting Improvements


- Grizzle Figg Act – required wastewater discharges to SW Florida estuaries be treated to Advanced Wastewater Treatment (AWT) standards
- Improved stormwater treatment
- Septic to Sewer conversions in priority watersheds
- Eliminating small package plants and increasing production for reclaimed water supply

Increased Volume of Reclaimed Water Production

Bee Ridge Monthly Average Reuse Production (MGD)



Seagrass – Our Keystone Indicator





However:

- Trends in nitrogen concentrations have recently been increasing throughout the watersheds and estuaries
- Water quality standards for chlorophyll are now being exceeded in most segments
- Coincident episodes of harmful algal blooms have heightened concerns regarding nutrient pollution and its effects on estuarine health

Timeseries Trends in TN

1998-2017

2008-2017



“Red Tide” *Karenia brevis*


Naturally occurring

Historical records
back to 1500's

Blooms initiated
offshore


Evidence that intensity
And duration is
increasing

High P makes area
Susceptible to nitrogen



Relevance to Water Quality Standards

- Sarasota Bay Estuary Program established targets and thresholds for chlorophyll and nitrogen
- Based on a reference period when seagrasses (key ecological indicator) were stable



Water Quality Indicators

Reference Period Approach

Chlorophyll a

Reference Period

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x - \bar{x})^2}$$

Chla

\bar{x}

Total Nitrogen

Linear Regression


+1 std

Threshold


Target

TN


Accepted By FDEP as NNC



Sarasota Bay
AAM Chlorophyll (ug/l)



Roberts Bay
AAM Chlorophyll (ug/l)




Likely Outcome of Next FDEP Assessment

Segment	WBID	Chlorophyll <i>a</i>	TN	TP
Sarasota Bay	1968C	Impaired	Not Impaired	Not Impaired
Roberts Bay	1968D	Impaired	Not Impaired	Not Impaired
Little Sarasota Bay	1968E	Impaired	Not Impaired	Not Impaired
Blackburn Bay	1968F	Impaired	Impaired	Not Impaired


FDEP Evaluation Due in 2020

Sarasota Bay

2008-2017




Good exchange with Gulf




Robert's Bay

2008-2017




Large creek discharge
Limited exchange with Gulf

Restorable Seagrass Target




Little Sarasota Bay

2008-2017




Least exchange with Gulf




Blackburn Bay

2008-2017



Mixing of freshwater inputs
and exchange with Gulf



Summary

- Chlorophyll now exceeding state standards
- Nitrogen concentrations increasing
- + Nitrogen not yet exceeding state standards in most Bays
- + Seagrasses remain above historic levels for most Bays
- Downward seagrass trends in Bays less exchange with Gulf

What's With These TN Trends?

- **Lots of Ideas**
 - Laboratory results systematically biased? No
 - Rainfall /Atmospheric deposition changing?
 - Groundwater concentrations increasing?
 - Background conditions increasing?
 - Has increased volume of reuse affected nitrogen trends?
- **Need for a systematic approach**

Proposed Restoration and Protection Strategy (RA Plan)

SBEP serve as honest broker - existing inter-local agreements and WQ consortium and management structure in place

- Reevaluate Water Quality Targets
- Update/Reevaluate Loading Model
- Update Evaluation of Estuarine Responses
- Identify Nutrient Loading Limits
- Identify Nutrient Load Reduction Projects that Achieve Loading Limits

Many of the tools and data sources are in place

Benefits of FDEP Approved RA Plan

- Eligibility for funding and cost sharing
- Stresses proactive efforts to reduce nutrients in the watershed
- Maintains local control - Provides opportunity to demonstrate local efforts and provide local expertise
- *Cleaner water faster*

Lessons Learned

- A lot of benefits of having multiple indicators but it can lead to confusion / inaction
- Seagrasses may have threshold responses that have not been exceeded in Bays with better exchange
- Power of a strong monitoring program to pick up changes in water quality
- Having targets and thresholds works even if they aren't perfect